DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

IN REPLY REFER TO

BUPERSINST 1640.18C NPC-84 24 May 99

BUPERS INSTRUCTION 1640.18C

From: Chief of Naval Personnel

Subj: CAPACITY AND FUNCTIONAL ADEQUACY OF NAVY SHORE BRIGS AND PRETRIAL CONFINEMENT FACILITIES (PCFS)

Ref: (a) SECNAVINST 1640.9B

(b) Military Handbook 1037/4 (NOTAL)

Encl: (1) Functional Adequacy and Rated Capacity of Navy Shore Brigs and Pretrial Confinement Facilities

1. Purpose

- a. To update classification of Navy shore brigs and Pretrial Confinement Facilities (PCFS) as to their degree of functional adequacy.
- b. To establish the operational capacity of Navy shore brigs and PCFS.
- c. This is a complete revision and should be read in its entirety.
- 2. Cancellation. BUPERSINST 1640.18D
- 3. <u>Definitions</u>. As used in this document, the following definitions apply:
- a. Rated Capacity. Rated capacity is the number of prisoner/detainee berthing spaces available for normal day-to-day operations and does not include disciplinary or special segregation spaces.
- b. <u>Navy Shore Brigs</u>. Navy shore brigs are confinement facilities designated as naval places of confinement by the Secretary of the Navy and operated by the Navy.

- c. Pretrial Confinement Facilities (PCFs). A PCF is a place for pretrial/short-term confinement established at a local command of the shore establishment and approved by the Secretary of the Navy as a naval place of confinement. These PCFs normally will have a maximum capacity of 20 detainees/prisoners, and offer a limited range of programs. PCFs are not considered part of the Department of Defense (DoD) confinement system.
- 4. <u>Background</u>. Reference (a) requires that Chief of Naval Personnel (CHNAVPERS) establish and publish the functional adequacy and rated capacity of Navy shore brigs and PCFS.

5. Evaluation

- a. <u>Functional Adequacy</u>. The following factors will be considered in determining functional adequacy:
 - (1) Safety and health of staff and prisoners;
 - (2) Physical security features;
- (3) Configuration affecting maximum separation of different categories of prisoners and conservation of staff; and,
 - (4) Program and support space.
- b. Rated Capacity. Rated capacity will be determined according to the criteria set forth in reference (a) and may be limited by such considerations as reduced staff levels, etc.

6. General

- a. Following the criteria set forth in references (a) and (b), the functional adequacy classification of each Navy shore brig and PCF is contained in enclosure (1). The functional adequacy classifications are
- ALPHA The facility meets all demands placed upon it in a mission category. No major or minor inadequacies exist.
- BRAVO Inadequacies exist but do not seriously hinder operations. These inadequacies should be corrected when practicable.
- CHARLIE Serious inadequacies exist that hinder the operation and must be corrected. This is a naval place of confinement authorized for use as a matter of expediency because no other facility is available.

- DELTA Serious inadequacies exist that preclude successful support of the confinement authorized. For use only as a necessity because no other facility is available and it must be replaced or undergo major renovation as soon as possible.
- b. Navy shore brigs and PCFs shall not exceed their rated capacity by more than 10 percent without prior approval of Commander, Navy Personnel Command (COMNAVPERSCOM) (PERS-84) with major claimant concurrence. Requests to exceed rated capacity by more than 10 percent will outline the conditions necessitating the request, state provisions to handle the overload, and provide estimated date when the facility will be back within rated capacity.
- c. If a temporary reduction in capacity is required due to a critical staffing shortage, renovation or maintenance of spaces, or other emergency situation, a request to modify the rated capacity temporarily will be sent to COMNAVPERSCOM (PERS-84) via the major claimant, indicating the change in capacity, the reason(s) necessitating the change, actions being taken to rectify the problem(s), and the expected date the facility will be able to return to establishing rated capacity.

7. Action

- a. The functional adequacy and rated capacity for each Navy shore brig and PCF will be published by COMNAVPERSCOM (PERS-84) biennially.
- b. Commands with facilities classified as CHARLIE or DELTA will have a current Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M) for upgrading the physical plant to a safe and satisfactory level, or for replacement. The plan will identify funding requests and projected dates that corrective action will be funded and work completed. The POA&M will also describe interim action(s) planned for safe and secure operation until the renovation and/or construction is completed. This document is to be forwarded, via the chain of command to COMNAVPERSCOM (PERS-84), within 90 days of facility designation as CHARLIE or DELTA.
- c. Requests for temporary waivers of the provisions of reference (a) will be submitted with justification through the chain of command and, if approved, will normally be granted for only the period of time necessary to complete corrective action.

d. Immediate corrective action is required, and waivers will not be granted for facilities with major life threatening, fire, or safety violations.

J. B. HINKLE Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy Deputy Chief of Naval

Personnel

Distribution:

```
SNDL 21A (FLEET COMMANDERS IN CHIEF)
     28K1 (COMSUBGRU TWO)
     C58I (NATTECHTRACEN DET LACKLAND AFB TX)
     FA6 (NAS JACKSONVILLE FL)
     FA7 (NAVSTA GUANTANAMO BAY CU)
     FA10 (SUBASE NEW LONDON CT)
     FA24 (COMNAVDASE JACKSONVILLE FL)
           (COMNAVBASE NORFOLK VA)
           (COMNAVBASE PEARL HARBOR HI)
           (NAVBRIG NORFOLK VA) FB5 (NAVACTS GU)
     FA26
     FB7
           (NAS PENSACOLA FL)
     FB10 (NAVSTA PEARL HARBOR HI) (NAVSTA NORFOLK VA)
     FB12 (NAVBRIG FORD ISLAND PEARL HARBOR HI)
           (NAVBRIG/CCU PUGET SOUND WA)
     FB13 (SUBASE BANGOR WA)
     FB28 (COMNAVBASE SEATTLE WA)
     FB34 (COMFLEACT YOKOSUKA JA)
     FJA12 (CONSOLIDATED BRIGS)
     FT1 (CNET PENSACOLA FL)
     FT31 (NTC GREAT LAKES IL)
```

Commanding Officer
Naval Brig/CCU
Box 64
Naval Air Station
Jacksonville, FL 32212-0064

Officer in Charge PCF/CCU Code OOOM 541 John H Tower Rd Pensacola, FL 32508-5315

Master Chief Petty Officer in Charge Code 1500 CFA Confinement Facility PSC 473 Box 9 FPO AP 96349-1101

Senior Chief Petty Officer in Charge Pretrial Confinement Facility Bldg 160 Box 42 NAVSUBASE New London Groton, CT 06349-5042

Chief Petty Officer in Charge Pretrial Confinement Facility PSC 1005 Box 98 FPO AE 09593-0098

Chief Petty Officer in Charge Pretrial Confinement Facility 2601 A Paul Jones St. Bldg 914 Great Lakes, IL 60088-5000

Stocked:

Navy Personnel Command (PERS-84) (10 copies)

FUNCTIONAL ADEQUACY AND RATED CAPACITY OF NAVY SHORE BRIGS AND PRETRIAL CONFINEMENT FACILITIES

LOCATION CONSOLIDATED BRIGS:	FUNCTION RATED CA MALE		ADEQUACY CLASSIFICATION	VALIDATION DATE
Charleston, SC Miramar, CA	200 182	00 18	ALPHA ALPHA	JUL 96 JUN 97
WATERFRONT BRIG/CC				
Pearl Harbor, HI Puget Sound, WA Jacksonville, FL Norfolk, VA	55 25 45 115	05 05 05 18	ALPHA ALPHA ALPHA ALPHA	APR 98 MAY 98 AUG 97 JUL 97
PCF'S:				
Guantanamo Bay, CU New London, CT Great Lakes, IL Pensacola, FL Yokosuka, JA	10 20 20 36 20	00 00 00 00 00	ALPHA BRAVO DELTA ALPHA ALPHA	MAR 98 OCT 97 JUN 96 MAR 98 APR 98
TOTAL:	728	51		